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Preamble

Wofford College is committed to the moral as well as the intellectual growth of its students and staff. Freedom and responsibility in such a community demand that its members embrace unambiguous principles of good conduct. Thus, the Wofford College Code of Student Rights and Responsibilities emphasizes personal integrity as its highest value, and members of the community are expected to be honest, trustworthy, responsible, and honorable.

Dishonesty (lying, cheating, defrauding, and/or stealing) is especially destructive of the academic process. Integrity being necessary in research, discovery, and expression of ideas, the college has an Honor Code to express its intolerance for academic dishonesty. The Honor Code requires faculty, staff, and students to be honest in their own work and their use of ideas, and to encourage others to do the same. The Code demands a high standard of personal honor. It requires students to pledge honesty in their academic work and it sets forth appropriate responses to those who violate that pledge.

Academic Dishonesty

The Honor Code at Wofford College governs academic dishonesty. Academic dishonesty is a general term referring here to any cheating, misrepresentation, and/or stealing in academic or intellectual work submitted by a student of Wofford College in courses or projects or for college publications. It also applies to dishonesty in academic activities in which students may represent the college (college bowl or mathematics competitions, internships, research projects, for example) even if these activities occur when the classes are not in session.

It is impossible to list all acts of academic dishonesty, but acts of academic dishonesty include:

a. Any conduct that involves the unauthorized use of information obtained by any means.
b. Unauthorized receiving, buying, selling, or theft of any assignment, examination, or quiz prior to its administration.
c. Unauthorized use of any electronic or mechanical device during any academic course.
d. Unauthorized collaboration on any test, assignment, or project.
e. Plagiarism, which is defined as:
   1) Verbatim repetition, without acknowledgement, of the writings of another author.
   2) Borrowing or using information developed by another without acknowledging the source.
   3) Paraphrasing or translating the work or thought of another writer without acknowledgment.
   4) Allowing any other person or organization to prepare work which one then submits as his/her own.
f. Plagiarism, which is defined as: Preparing any assignment for another to submit as his/her own.
g. Misrepresenting personal circumstances (such as conflicting responsibilities, personal illness, or illness or death of loved ones) in an effort to avoid an assignment or deadline or as an excuse for not meeting academic responsibilities in a course.
h. Submitting for credit in a course any work previously submitted for credit in this course or in another course.
i. Any other incident of lying, cheating, or stealing in the preparation or presentation of academic work.
j. Lying to members of the faculty, administration, or Honor Council who are conducting an investigation or a trial of academic dishonesty.

Wofford College Honor Pledge

A condition of matriculation at Wofford College is the signing of the Honor Pledge in which students promise the faculty and fellow students they will not engage in any act of academic dishonesty. The pledge reads as follows.

I understand that Wofford College seeks to develop the character as well as the intellect of its students. I understand that Wofford students are expected to be honest, trustworthy, and honorable. Further, I understand that behavior contrary to these expectations threatens the values of the college and destroys trust among members of our campus community.

I have read and understand the provisions of the Wofford College Honor Code governing academic dishonesty. I understand that academic dishonesty reflects poor judgment and character, undermines the integrity of the academic program, and diminishes the value of the credentials of the graduates of the college.

As a sign of my membership in the Wofford College community and of my allegiance to its principle of honor, I promise the faculty and my fellow students that I will never engage in an act of dishonesty in my academic work.

As a reinforcement of the promise, students will sign work submitted in a course with the word “pledged” and their signature.
Honor Council

The Honor Council, a component of the student government at Wofford College, administers the provisions of the Honor Code. The Council is composed of eleven students (five seniors, four juniors, and two sophomores). A board consisting of the outgoing chair of the Judicial Commission, the four outgoing officers of Campus Union, the six outgoing senior delegates of Campus Union, the dean of students, and two members of the faculty will select the members of the initial Honor Council.

Thereafter, Honor Council members will be elected each spring by an electoral board composed of the five graduating seniors on the Honor Council, the four Campus Union officers, and the chair of the Judicial Commission. The election will take place by April 1, and the newly elected members of the Honor Council are eligible to assume their Council responsibilities effective the beginning of spring semester academic holidays. If for any reason a member of the Honor Council vacates a position, the electoral board will name a replacement.

The Honor Council elects its chairman, vice chairman, and secretary. Early in each spring semester the chairman of the Honor Council will solicit the student body for applicants for membership on the Council. Candidates will submit an application and two recommendations, one from a peer and one from a faculty/staff member. The electoral board will select candidates to interview. In making its selection of new members of the Honor Council the board will give primary consideration to a candidate’s moral character and commitment to the promotion of academic honesty.

The chairman of the Honor Council presides at hearings of accusations of academic dishonesty. For each case, the chairman appoints a member of the Council to serve as prosecutor for that case. The chairman also appoints a student requested by the accused to serve as defender; or if the accused has no request, the chairman will appoint a defender from the Council. If for any reason the chairman is unavailable, the vice chairman will act in his or her place. The secretary is responsible for all records and communications from the Council. The dean of the college will appoint a faculty member to observe meetings of the Council and its trials and to give advice and guidance to the Council in meeting its duties. The faculty advisor will not vote. He or she will not attempt to persuade the Council in its verdict or sanction.

Reporting Procedures

While failure to report an act of academic dishonesty is not an infraction of the Code, all persons in the college community are to uphold the values of the Honor Code, and they should not tolerate academic dishonesty by others.

When anyone in the Wofford College community has reason to believe that a student has committed an act of academic dishonesty, it is appropriate and right to report the matter in writing to the dean of the college or to the faculty member involved. If the report goes to the faculty member, he or she will notify the dean.

Upon receiving a report, the dean will notify the chairman of the Honor Council (and the faculty member, if the report came directly to the dean). The dean of the college, the faculty member, and the prosecutor for the case will meet to decide if there is probable cause for a charge. If two of the three agree that evidence is sufficient, the dean will notify the chairman of the Honor Council, who will formally charge the student, and convene a court to hear the case.

If the dean of the college, faculty member, and prosecutor do not find sufficient evidence to make a charge, the dean will inform the accuser that the claim has been investigated and that no charge is being made. The matter is not recorded in the file of the
accused student and the faculty member must not consider the alleged violation in determining the student’s grade in the course. Any written records pertaining to the case will be destroyed. Members of the college community must use their best judgment in determining whether or not acts are covered by the definitions of this Code and therefore constitute academic dishonesty. Faculty members must exercise care to differentiate careless and inadequate work from dishonest work. The professor will handle, as always, work judged to be careless and inadequate. In the suspicion of plagiarism, if the professor decides that the work is the result of the student’s lack of knowledge of proper referencing, rather than the result of deception, the professor must report his or her handling of the case to the dean. Normally, repeat offenses will be considered violations of the Honor Code and will be dealt with as such.

**Trials**

The chairman of the Honor Council will convene six members of the Council to conduct a trial. The six will be the “trial court” for the case. That number shall include the Council chairman, but not include the prosecutor or the defender. Any member who has kinship or a close personal relationship with the accused will be expected to recuse himself or herself from the case. Conviction of a violation of the Honor Code requires that at least four of the six members of the trial court vote that the accused is guilty beyond reasonable doubt. Beyond reasonable doubt is a strict standard of evidence. If the standard is not met, the accused will be found not guilty.

If the process leads to conviction, the dean of the college or the dean’s representative will inform the trial court of any previous conviction the offender may have for academic dishonesty. After the report from the dean, the court will set the sanction. At least four of the six members of the court must concur with the sanction. If there is no agreement, the least severe punishment under consideration will be administered. The verdict and the sanction will be announced by the Court to the convicted student, the prosecutor, and the defender immediately after the Court completes deliberation and makes a decision.

A student accused of academic dishonesty may elect to enter a guilty plea. In the case of a guilty plea, the six-person court will meet only to determine a sanction. The student may attend the meeting to make a statement, or may choose to be absent, but must be present for the announcement of the sanction immediately after the Court completes deliberation and makes a decision.

If the accused is found not guilty, the verdict will be announced to the accused, the prosecutor, and the defender immediately after the decision is made. All materials relating to the case will be destroyed. The faculty member must not consider the alleged violation in determining the student’s grade in the course.

Meetings of a trial of academic dishonesty are closed, attended only by the members of the court, other members of the Council as observers, the faculty advisor, the accused and the defender, and persons invited by the court to give information or testimony. In addition, the dean of the college may aid the prosecution and the dean of students may aid the defense. The proceedings of the Court will be audio taped for purposes of reference. The tapes of trials will be maintained in the office of the dean of the college for two years.
Sanctions

Students convicted by the Honor Council of a violation of the Code will be subject to the following sanction or sanctions:

**Grading sanction** – a mandatory withdrawal from the course, resulting in the professor’s assignment of a grade of WF (or F if the determination is made after the course has been completed).

**Suspension** – separation of a student from the college for a fixed period of time determined by the Court, usually from the time remaining in the academic term to an academic year in length.

**Exclusion** – separation of a student from the college for an indeterminate period of time with no guarantee of readmission.

**Research Workshop** – For convictions for plagiarism, the Court may impose an additional sanction requiring the student to complete a workshop, directed by the reference librarian and the director of the writing center, on proper use of references in research and proper citation of materials used. Failure to complete the workshop at the earliest opportunity is grounds for the student’s suspension by the Court. As a condition for returning to the college, suspended or excluded students must complete the workshop during the semester of re-entry. Failure to comply will result in further suspension or exclusion by the Court.

First Offense

For a first offense, the Court has the discretion to impose one of two sanctions: (1) a grading sanction, or (2) both the grading sanction and suspension from the college. On the motion of the dean of the college, a student may be excluded from the college for a first offense that is flagrant or egregious. In case of plagiarism the Court may also require the completion of the research workshop.

Subsequent Offense

For a subsequent offense, the sanction is either (1) both the grading sanction and suspension as outlined above, or (2) both a grading sanction and exclusion from the college. In case of plagiarism the Court may also require the completion of the research workshop as a condition for re-entry.

All sanctions take effect at the expiration of the 48 hour appeal period or as soon as the ruling on an appeal is made, except that, if the infraction occurs late in a semester, the Court may delay the beginning of suspension or exclusion until the next term or semester. In the case of suspension or exclusion, grades for the other courses in which the student is enrolled will be WF or WP as assigned by the professor depending on whether the student is passing or failing at the time.

Students who have been suspended or excluded must apply to the registrar for readmission at least six weeks before the date they seek to return. Wofford will not accept for credit any work undertaken at other institutions by a student during his or her suspension or exclusion from Wofford College under this Code.
Communications

Prior to the resolution of a charge, all parties shall hold the names of students suspected of acts of academic dishonesty in confidence. Once each semester, the secretary of the Council will provide the student body, faculty, and administration with a report on the number of cases tried, the verdicts, and the sanctions imposed. The names of persons charged will be withheld.

When a student is found guilty of an act of academic dishonesty, all records of the court, including copies of all correspondence, will be maintained in the office of the dean of the college.

Formal charges of academic dishonesty will be communicated by the Court to the accused, to the dean of the college, the dean of students, and the faculty member. In addition to the announcement immediately after the Court’s decision, the results of trials, including the verdict and the sanctions, will be communicated in writing by the Court to the accused, the dean of the college, the dean of students, and the faculty member. The dean of the college will notify the registrar of sanctions and in a case involving a student-athlete will notify the director of athletics of the results of the trial.

Formal charges of academic dishonesty, verdicts, and sanctions will be communicated by the dean of students to parents or guardians of a student unless the student is independent as determined by college officials according to the FERPA Act, which protects the privacy of educational records.

Safeguards and Appeals

The procedural safeguards provided by Section VII of the Student Code of Rights and Responsibilities are available to students charged with academic dishonesty.

Any person found guilty of academic dishonesty may appeal the verdict or sanction. The appeal must be submitted to the president of the college within 48 hours (excluding holidays and weekends) after the student has been notified orally by the Court at the end of the trial of the verdict or sanction. Grounds for appeal are those listed in section VII.D.4 of the Student Code of Rights and Responsibilities. Appeals must be submitted in writing and provide specific reasons. The president of the college, whose decision is final, will act on the appeal, giving the decision in writing within one week to the appellant and to the chairman of the Honor Council. The president of the college may uphold or reverse the decisions, or may remand the case back to the court for further discussion before making a final decision on the appeal.

Revision

The dean of the college, the dean of students, the faculty advisor to the Honor Council, and the chairman of the Honor Council constitute a committee charged to review the Code and its workings annually and to make revisions as needed. Revisions must be approved by the faculty. (Revised 2005)
PREAMBLE

Since Wofford College is a community of persons living together in a social as well as educational context, there must be a code of student responsibility. Wofford College is, however, dedicated to the maturing of men and women and the pursuit of a liberal education. Therefore, the responsibility for student conduct, both on and off the Wofford campus, rests principally with the students themselves. The college has flexible policies and procedures, rather than rigid and needlessly detailed rules and regulations. Thus the college community expects to remain open, as well as to maintain order.

I. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COLLEGE CODE & CIVIL LAW

Although the college is concerned with all activities of all students, on and off campus, which constitute a part of their educational experience, the college will not assume jurisdiction over activities off campus except in those rare instances in which such activities are clearly detrimental to the college community. Aside from assuring fair treatment and providing assistance in the securing of counsel, the college administration will not involve itself in students’ arrest by civil authorities.

If a violation of civil law occurs on campus the college may institute its own proceedings against the offender if the college interest is clearly distinct from that of the community outside the college, as determined by the Judicial Commission.

II. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COLLEGE CODE AND COLLEGE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Wofford College students will be subject to the policies and procedures of this institution. If any issue is not clearly addressed by the Code of Student Rights and Responsibilities, the judicial body and student body may consider the Wofford College Policies and Procedures as a supplement to the Code.

III. CONDUCT OF WOFFORD STUDENTS WHILE ON OTHER COLLEGE CAMPUSES

It is foreseeable that Wofford students might violate regulations on other college campuses. Since such infractions may not violate civil law and since other colleges have no jurisdiction to punish Wofford students, the Judicial Commission is given discretion to determine jurisdiction over such violations.

III. EDUCATIONAL RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Right of Inquiry

Students and student organizations are free to examine, to discuss, and to express opinions or questions of interest to them. Furthermore, in order to bring to the campus a wide range of viewpoints on various subjects, the college community feels that no speaker invited by a campus organization should be denied free access to the campus.

A campus organization wishing to bring an outside speaker to the campus should notify the dean of students office and make necessary arrangements for proper scheduling of facilities and preparation for the event. It should be realized by all persons that sponsorship of outside speakers by the college community does not imply approval or endorsement by the college of the views expressed. In addition, all speakers must agree to be available for questions and answers.

The college cannot, of course, shield from state or federal prosecution any speaker whose utterances at Wofford allegedly violate valid laws relating to treason, sedition, obscenity, or the like.

B. The Right of Expression

In the interest of academic freedom and the right of peaceable assembly, Wofford College students are allowed in any public area of the college including corridors and other places set aside for public meetings, to support or protest any cause of interest to them. All student gatherings or demonstrations must be orderly and must not interfere with the legitimate pursuits of other members or guests of the college. The students of Wofford College are encouraged to
voice their opinions, but they should realize that they speak only for themselves and not the student body or the college community as a whole. Participation of students in demonstrations and protests off the campus is left to their own discretion, subject only to the article above. The Wofford College name, however, is retained for official, recognized organizations and activities and may not otherwise be used without special permission.

The publications of Wofford College, both oral and written, shall conform to journalistic ethics and to good practices of that profession. This includes the avoidance of libel and slander, indecency, undocumented allegations, attacks on personal integrity, and the techniques of harassment and innuendo. With these standards, the publications of Wofford College will be free of censorship, prior restraint, or advance approval of copy. The individual editors will employ their own discretion concerning editorial and news policy and will not be subject to arbitrary suspension or expulsion from the college because of editorial or news policy.

C. Freedom of Association

As part of the academic freedom sought by Wofford College, students may organize themselves into any groups they wish for whatever purpose they wish. All students in an organization shall be subject individually to the rules of the college and the community.

Any student has the right to associate freely with off-campus organizations without being punished or in any way harassed for this membership or association.

A student organization may apply for recognition by the college by meeting the requirements for application set up by the college, which are detailed in the section on business policies:

1. The name of the organization, its stated purposes, and the names of its principal officers must be on file in the office of the dean of students.

2. Recognized Wofford organizations shall not practice racial, sexual or religious discrimination except as authorized by Title IX, Section 901 of the United States Code.

Recognition may be granted or denied by the student affairs committee of the faculty. Recognized organizations have campus post office privileges and priority over unrecognized student organizations in the use of campus facilities for meetings and social functions. Though recognition does not entitle an organization to appropriation from college funds, only recognized organizations may apply.

IV. SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Conduct Affecting the Person, Property, or Rights of Others

Wofford students shall not lie, cheat or steal. It is the responsibility of the students to respect the person, property, and the rights of others. Therefore, students will not engage in any form of activity, which results in or which might naturally result in

1. Injury to person,
2. Damage to property,
3. Interference with the normal activities of the college, or
4. Interference with the rights of other members of the Wofford community.

B. Alcohol Policy

Possession or use of alcoholic beverages is governed by local ordinances and state law. In addition, on-campus conduct of students and their guests relating to the possession or use of alcoholic beverages is a matter of special concern and is thus subject to policies and procedures set forth in a statement adopted by the Board of Trustees and appended to this code. The administration will assume jurisdiction in these cases. (Refer to the policies and procedures section for complete policy).

The complete policy is listed on the college’s website, and every student is strongly encouraged to read it. The most common violation of this policy is simple possession and consumption under the age of 21.
The sanctions for a Category I Alcohol Violation First Offense are:

Category I Violation:

First Offense:

1. **Documentation:** The first Category I violation will be temporarily placed on a student’s disciplinary record for internal purposes only. If a student is found guilty of a subsequent violation, both violations become part of the student’s disciplinary record at that time. If there is no subsequent violation, the original violation will be expunged from the record upon the student’s graduation or withdrawal from the college.

2. **Education:** The student will be required to complete an educational workshop addressing the issue of alcohol use and abuse. This workshop is conducted by the staff in the Health Services Department.

3. **Fine:** A $50.00 fine must be paid to the college within 30 days of the conviction of the violation.

4. **Parental Notification:** A copy of the warning letter will be mailed to the student’s parent(s) or guardian(s).

C. **Drug Policy**

Possession or use of narcotic, mind-altering, or other illicit drugs, except on prescription of a licensed physician, is prohibited by local ordinances and by state and federal laws. In addition, possession or use of these substances by Wofford College students and their guests is a matter of special concern and is thus subject to policies and procedures set forth in a statement adopted by the Board of Trustees and appended to this code. The administration will assume jurisdiction in these cases. (Refer to the policies and procedures section for complete policy).

D. **Civil Law**

Students are expected to abide by civil law.

E. **Gambling**

Gambling on campus is not permitted.

F. **Hazing**

Hazing is not permitted on or off campus.

G. **Firearms**

Firearms may not be kept in residence halls or spaces leased to Greek letter organizations. Students should acquaint themselves with all laws governing possession and use of firearms. Firearms may be stored in the Campus Safety Office and are subject to all laws. (Refer to pages 104-105 for complete policy.)

H. **Fireworks and Explosives**

Use of fireworks and explosives is prohibited.

I. **Commercial Solicitation**

J. **Responsibility of Campus Safety Officers**

These officers protect the college and its occupants from vandalism, theft, and other harmful conduct. They are to be treated with courtesy and respect by all members of the community. It is the responsibility of students and others to comply immediately with a request to show identification cards to any campus safety officer.

V. **HONOR CODE**

Read at [http://www.wofford.edu/studentLife/0607code.pdf](http://www.wofford.edu/studentLife/0607code.pdf)

VI. **Sanctions**

Students convicted, pursuant to due process, of violation of this code are subject to one or more of the following sanctions:

A. **Fines**

B. **Referral**

C. **Apology**

D. **Warning**

E. **Community Restitution**

F. **Janitorial Duty as Specified**
G. Eviction
H. Restitution
I. Suspension
J. Expulsion
K. Suspended Sentence
L. Records
M. Notification of Parents and Guardians
N. Disciplinary Probation
O. Other Sanctions as Deemed Appropriate
P. Failure to Comply with Sanctions

VII. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS
A. Searches of Residence Hall Rooms or Fraternity Houses
Searches of students’ rooms or fraternity houses by civil authorities are governed by local, state, or federal law. Searches by campus authorities may be conducted only under the following circumstances:
1. Hot Pursuit.
2. Warrant.
3. Custodial Inspection.
4. Emergency Situations.
5. College Official.

B. Due Process of Law

VIII. THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM
PREAMBLE
The Wofford College Judicial System is established as the forum whereby students and organizations charged with violations of the Code of Student Rights and Responsibilities have their cases adjudicated. Members of the Judicial System must be held above reproach, bestowing the utmost respect to the system they serve and the Code they uphold. The protection of students’ rights shall be ensured by the integrity of the process and the safeguards set forth in the Code. The system shall seek to ascertain truth and rectify harms, so that Wofford College can provide a safe and free environment for a liberal arts education.

A. Judicial Commission
B. Judicial Court
C. Administrative Court
D. Appeals Court
E. Optional Court of First Instance
F. Traffic Commission
G. Courts for Greek Letter Organizations
H. The Office of the Attorney General
I. Office of the Clerk of Court

IX. ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY

X. AMENDING PROCEDURE
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